

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney
NCHEKUBE ONYIMA
Special Assistant United States Attorney
SHEA J. KENNY
Assistant United States Attorney
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 554-2700
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

KEVIN DAIL MEADORS,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:24-cr-00292-JAM

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS
CONFERENCE AND EXCLUSION OF TIME
UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FINDINGS AND
ORDER**

DATE: January 7, 2025,
TIME: 9:00 a.m.
COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorneys of record, Special Assistant United States Attorney, Nchekube Onyima, Assistant United States Attorney, Shea J. Kenny, and defendant Kevin Dail Meadors, both individually and by and through his counsel of record, Adam Weiner, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order this matter was set for status on January 7, 2025. The Order excluded time from November 12, 2024, through January 7, 2025, under Local Code T4.

2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until **February 25, 2025, at 09:00 a.m.**, and exclude time between January 7, 2025, and February 25, 2025, under Local Code T4.

3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:

1 a) The discovery associated with this case consists of 914 pages of documents
2 including police reports and images, as well as recorded interviews and videos. The discovery
3 also consists of at least one forensic report of the defendant's digital device.

4 b) Much of this discovery is subject to protective order requiring inspection in the
5 government's office. Discovery not required to be inspected in the government's office has been
6 issued by the government directly to defense counsel and/or made available for inspection and
7 copying.

8 c) Counsel for defendant requires additional time to review and copy discovery for
9 this matter, discuss potential resolution with his client, and otherwise prepare for trial.

10 d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested
11 continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into
12 account the exercise of due diligence.

13 e) The government does not object to the continuance.

14 f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the
15 case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the
16 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

17 g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,
18 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 7, 2025, to February 25,
19 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code
20 T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis
21 of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best
22 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludible from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

Dated: December 13, 2024

/s/Nchekube Onyima
NCHEKUBE ONYIMA
Special Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: December 13, 2024

/s/Shea J. Kenny
SHEA J. KENNY
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: December 13, 2024

/s/Adam Weiner
ADAM WEINER
Counsel for Defendant
Kevin Dail Meadors

ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.

Dated: December 16, 2024

/s/ John A. Mendez
THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE